

- SELF censorship
- Corporate censorship
- academic censorship
 - dropping some studies, funding others
- distortion - How the studies are set up in the first place.
 - not allowed to report anything important in "funding errors"
- government censorship
 - incl. Congressional DARK Act - disallow label, other info blocked
 - started paying to read
- "Soft censorship" of editorial boards.

★★ CONFLICT OF INTEREST ★★

★★ → Flipping this to pertain to public interest groups. ★★

★ False equivalency.

- A debate with a set-up audience.
(when they have the audience "Vote")

- A Rigged panel.

- Foundations + universities + industry
shaping the message.
(GATES, cornell, Alliance for science)

- LACK of funding → influences what
gets researched ("pre-imposed
censorship")

- GAG orders

- industry front groups.

- BAD reporting

- smear campaigns
to discredit

- creating a sense of balance when
it is not balanced.

- Lowest voice, biggest bully pulpit = inequitable access to info & to having a voice be heard.

- Rigged meetings.
(NOFA not being allowed to take a scientist to Cornell mtg)

- "social censorship" living in a small community (or on bigger scale) → not wanting to be labeled as a fanatic or against your neighbors or peers.
who gets interviewed for stories.